FILED

2001 MAY 25 A 10: 34

RICHARD W. WIEKING U.S. DISTRICT COURT NO. DIST. OF CA. S.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN DISTRICT SAN JOSE DIVISIONAL OFFICE

ZIANAB ISMAIL.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Plaintiff,

VS.

County of Santa Clara, Department Of Correction

Defendant.

02752

Complaint for Monetary and Injunctive Relief for Employment Discrimination

(American with Disabilities Act)

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Zianab Ismail alleges as follows:

BY FAX

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This action is brought pursuant to the American with Disabilities Act of 1990. (ADA), Title 42 United States Code Section 12101 et seg., to obtain relief for Plaintiff Zianab Ismail for alleged disability discrimination in employment by Defendant County of Santa Clara Department of Correction.
- 2. Jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action is established in this court by the ADA, Title 42 United States Code Section 12117(a), which incorporates the jurisdiction provisions in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title 42, United States Code Section 20000e-5(f)(3). This is the proper venue for this action under Title 42 United

Complaint - 1

3 4

5

1

2

6 7

9 10

11

8

12 13 14

16 17

15

19 20

18

22 23

21

25 26

24

27 28

29 30 States Code Sections 200003-5(f)(3) and 12117(a), in that the discriminatory employment practice alleged herein was committed within this court's judicial district.

FACTS

- 3. Plaintiff is an adult person and is a resident of the County of Santa Clara, State of California
- 4. Plaintiff is disabled as defined by the ADA, Title 42 United States Code Section 12102(2), in that she has a record of a physical impairment that substantially limits one or more of her major life activities; Plaintiff suffered an injury in the workplace in April 2003, causing permanent injury to her back and neck, and rendering her unable to stand for long periods of time, and lift/pull more than 10 pounds.
- At all times relevant herein, Defendant has been an employer as defined by the ADA, Title 42, United States Code Section 12111(5)(A) and is subject to the ADA.
- 6. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a Correctional Food Service Worker from October 2000 to the involuntary termination of her employment on September 7, 2004; Plaintiff was on a medical leave of absence from April 2003 until the termination of her employment.
- 7. Plaintiff provided Defendant notification that she was permitted to return to work on about April 22, 2003, with limitations including a sit down job with lifting/pulling limited to less than 10 pounds.
- 8. Plaintiff is a "qualified individual with a disability" within the meaning of Title 42 United States Code Section 12111(8) because she can, with reasonable accommodation, perform the essential functions of the job that she held in the employ of Defendant.
- 9. From April 22, 2003 through the termination of Plaintiff's employment on May 7. 2004, Plaintiff provided Defendant notices indicating she was permitted to return to work with limitations including a sit down job with lifting/pulling limited to less than 10 pounds. and Defendant refused to engage in an interactive process to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made and refused to provide reasonable accommodation to Plaintiff.

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

- 10. On May 7, 2004, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment because she was not able to return to her job without limitations.
- 11. Since April 22, 2003, Plaintiff could have performed the essential functions of her job with reasonable accommodation.
- 12. Since April 22, 2003, Plaintiff could have performed other available jobs within the County of Santa Clara Department of Corrections.
- Defendant's refusal to engage in an interactive process to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made, refusal to provide reasonable accommodation to Plaintiff, and termination of Plaintiff's employment because she was not able to return to work without limitations is in violation of Title 42 United States Code Section 12112(a).
- 14. Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff because of her disability as described herein by refusing to engage in an interactive process to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made, refusing to provide reasonable accommodation to Plaintiff, and terminating Plaintiff's employment because she was not able to return to work without limitations.
- 15. By this action, Plaintiff seeks the following relief, pursuant to Title 42 of the United States Code Section 12117(a), which incorporates the remedies set forth in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title 42 United States Code Section 200003-5(g) and (k), for the discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff in violation of the ADA: Monetary relief, including back pay and front pay, attorney's fees and costs of suit, and injunctive relief, consisting of an order requiring Defendant to hire Plaintiff.
- The discriminatory action of Defendant as set forth herein has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff to suffer a loss of earnings, from April 22, 2003 until the date on which Plaintiff is rehired by Defendant.
- 17. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to secure relief for the loss of employment opportunity. If this court does not enter an order compelling Defendant to hire Plaintiff to the job Plaintiff worked, Plaintiff will be irreparably injured in that she has been unable to obtain employment elsewhere.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 30

- 18. On September 23, 2004, Plaintiff filed a discrimination charge against Defendant with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). A copy of that charge is attached to this complaint, marked Exhibit A, and is incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth. That charge was timely filed, under the ADA, Title 42 United States Code Section 12117(a) which incorporates the procedures set forth in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title 42, United States Code Section 2000e-5(e), within 300 days of the occurrence of the ADA violation herein alleged.
- 19. On March 1, 2007, the EEOC sent to Plaintiff a notice advising her of her right to bring this action. This action was timely filed within 90 days after the notice.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- For back pay with prejudgment interest, from April 22, 2003 to the date of judgment herein;
- 2. For front pay and/or future lost earnings;
- For injunctive relief, including but not limited to an order compelling Defendant to hire Plaintiff to the job she worked, and for all other injunctive relief required to make Plaintiff whole for the losses caused by the violations of Defendant;
- For costs of suit, including reasonable attorney's fees and expert fees, pursuant to Title 42 United States Code Section 12117(a), which incorporates the remedies set forth in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title 42, United States Code Section 20003-5(k); and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL

Dated: May 25, 2007

Attorney for Plaintiff Zianab Ismail

FEOC Form 5 (5/01)				
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge Pres	sented To: Age	ncy(ies) Charge No(s):	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act	FEPA			
Statement and other information before completing this form.			377-2004-01038	
California Donartment Of Fai-	Employee and 0			
California Department Of Fair Employment & Housing and EEOC State or focal Agency, if any				
Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Home Phone No. (Inc.) Area	Code) Date of Birth	
Ms, Zianab Ismail		(408) 946-151	4 01-30-1963	
Street Address City, State and ZIP Gode 1001 South Main Street, E101, Milpitas, CA 95035				
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Employment Agency Apprentices by Committee or State of Labor Organization, Committee or State of Labor Organization, Committee or State or Committee or Co				
Discriminated Against me of Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.)				
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA/DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)	
Street Address City, State and ZIP Code		500 or More	(408) 299-2920	
180 W. Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110				
Marse		No. Employaes, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)	
Street Address City, State	end ZIP Codě		<u>-</u> "	
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(S) DISCRIMINA	LTION TOOK BLACE	
Earliest Latest				
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN 04-22-2004 09-10-2004				
RETALIATION AGE X DISABILITY OTHER (Specify below.)				
CONTINUING ACTION				
THE PARTICULARS ARE (Il additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):				
I was employed with the above named employer until I was terminated on September 10, 2004. My last job classification was a Food Service Worker.				
Respondent was aware of my disability. In or around April 2004, I was able to return to work with restrictions per my physician. However, Respondent refused to accommodate my restrictions and did				
not allow me to return to work. On September 7, 2004, I received a letter from Respondent indicating that I would be terminated on September 10, 2004.				
I believe that I was discriminated against because of my disability, in violation of my rights as protected				
by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended.				
REGEIVED				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SEP 23 2004				
EEOC-SJLO				
~~~ <b>~</b>				
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, If any, I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully	NOTARY - When nece	essary for State and Local Ago	ncy Requirements	
with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.				
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.			
	CIGNATURE OF COM		grove g	
1002				
X03-23-04 /	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)			
Date Charging Party Signature				